UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ZOLTAN HIRSCH,

Plaintiff,

v.

HILLTOP GROCERY AT GRAND, INC., et al.,

Defendant.

USDC SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #:
DATE FILED: March 19,243

11 Civ. 7202

OPINION

Plaintiff Zoltan Hirsch brings this action under the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12181 *et seq.*, as well as New York City Human Rights Law, NYC Admin Code § 8-107(4)(a), and New York State Human Rights Law, NYS Exec. Law § 296 (2)(a). Hirsch, a double-amputee who relies upon a wheelchair for mobility, alleges that defendants' facility, Hilltop Grocery, is in violation of the ADA in at least 23 respects, largely (but not exclusively) relating to defendants' failure to install appropriate ramps, and their having installed many important items (sales counters, cash registers, scales, paper towel dispensers, etc.) at too great a height from the floor. Defendants move to dismiss the complaint on the ground that they have already satisfied Hirsch's claims. Defendants claim that they have paid Hirsch \$500 in damages, \$350 in costs, and that they have remedied the numerous specific violations identified in Hirsch's complaint.

Case 1:11-cv-07202-TPG Document 18 Filed 03/19/13 Page 2 of 2

Hirsch, however, contends that these violations have not, in fact, been remedied.

Therefore, Hirsch argues, the complaint's prayer for a court order requiring defendants to

modify their facilities to comply with the ADA, NYCHRL, and NYSHRL has not been

satisfied.

It is well established that, at the motion to dismiss stage, a court must accept as true the

facts alleged in the complaint, drawing all reasonable inferences in the plaintiff's favor.

ATSI Comme'ns, Inc. v. Shaar Fund, Ltd., 493 F.3d 87, 98 (2d Cir. 2007). The court may

not, at this stage, entertain evidence submitted by the parties and resolve factual disputes.

Accordingly, defendants' motion is denied.

So ordered.

Dated: New York, New York

March 19, 2013

United States District Judge

2